

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/028,787	Applicant(s) NIIYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner Thoi V. Duong	Art Unit 2871	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Thoi V. Duong. (3) _____
 (2) Kirsten A. Grueneberg, Ph. D. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 08 March 2005.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: West et al.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued that West does not disclose the resin layers having a rubbed vertical alignment surface or a rubbed horizontal alignment surface; instead, West discloses that the resin layers are rubbed parallel and perpendicular to each other. The Examiner will further reconsider the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Docket No: 217911USOCIP

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/028,787 First Named Applicant: Satoshi NIYAMMA
 Examiner: Duong, Thoi V. Art Unit: 2871 Status of Application: Pending
 Tentative Participants:
 (1) Kirsten A. Grueneberg, Ph.D. (2) _____
 (3) _____ (4) _____
 Proposed Date of Interview: March 8, 2005 Proposed Time: 10:00 AM
 (1) ☐ Telephonic (2) ☒ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference
 Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO
 If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Ref., Obj., etc)	Claims/Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>102/103</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>All of record</u>			
(2) _____	_____	_____			
(3) _____	_____	_____			
(4) _____	_____	_____			

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

West fails to disclose or suggest horizontal alignment, combination of West with secondary reference does not results in claimed invention

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible

Kirsten Grueneberg
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)